

IWM

FRAUNHOFER INSTITUTE FOR MECHANICS OF MATERIALS IWM

Derivation and Validation of a Mechanically Consistent Continuum Damage Model for Brittle Composite Materials

Claudio Findeisen and Jörg Hohe

Fraunhofer Institute for Mechanics of Materials IWM, Wöhlerstraße 11, 79108 Freiburg

Claudio.Findeisen@iwm.fraunhofer.de, joerg.hohe@iwm.fraunhofer.de

Motivation

A generic framework to model the stiffness degradation of a brittle material reads [1]:

$$\phi(\mathbf{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{D}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{C}^0 : \mathbf{\varepsilon} + \phi^d(\mathbf{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{D})$$

with A second rank symmetric damage tensor **D**

- The damage effect $\phi^d(\mathbf{\epsilon}, \mathbf{D})$:
 - Is often formulated using the effective stress concept together with different equivalence requirements, examples are [2]:

 $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{D})^{-1}: \mathbb{C}^0: \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{D})^{-T}$ (Energy equivalence) $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{M}(\mathbf{D})^{-1}: \mathbb{C}^0$ (Strain equivalence)



Fig 1: Model from Chaboche and Maire [1]: regions with a positive (red) and negative (green) damage growth rate. Example for isotropic \mathbb{C}^0 with $\xi = 1, \nu = 0.3$ (left) and $\xi = 1$

- Problem: The latter is not symmetric and there is no rigorous way to choose $M(\mathbf{D})$
- A more sophisticated model for brittle materials [1]:

$$\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{D}) = \frac{\xi}{2} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + \frac{1 - \xi}{2} \left[\mathbf{1} \overline{\otimes} \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D} \overline{\otimes} \mathbf{1} \right]_{S}$$

Most of the damage effect models violate the damage growth criterion [3]:

An increase in damage should always lead to a decrease in strain energy (or equivalently a decrease in stiffness):

> $\phi(\mathbf{\epsilon}, \mathbf{D}) \geq \phi(\mathbf{\epsilon}, \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{d}\mathbf{D}),$ $\forall \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \forall \mathbf{d} \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D} \in \mathsf{P}S\mathsf{ym}$

Derivation of a mechanically consistent damage effect

Derivation of a mechanically consistent damage effect fulfilling the damage growth criterion by applying the theory of invariant tensor functions [4]:

Starting Point:

The damage effect $\phi^d(\mathbf{\epsilon}, \mathbf{D})$ is a polynomial with degree two in **D** and has only quadratic terms in $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

 $0.5, \nu = 0.499$ (right)

Results



Fig 2: Model validation using virtual test data from a homogenization approach assuming a dilute crack concentration in a homogeneous anisotropic matrix (left), damage deactivation if crack set i ($g_i \leq 0$) is closed (right).

• It is assumed that the undamaged material has an orthotropic symmetry

 \rightarrow Damage effect $\phi^d(\mathbf{\epsilon}, \mathbf{D})$ with 145 independent material parameters

The Reduction Process:

- Reduce damage to in-plane damage $\mathbf{D} = D_{11}\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 + D_{22}\mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2$
- Fulfil the damage growth criterion [3]

 $\phi_{iso}^{d}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{D}) = \xi_0 \operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 \mathbf{D}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{D})[\xi_1 \operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2) + \xi_2 \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{M}^2 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2) \dots$... + $\xi_3 \operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^2 + \xi_4 \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^2 + \xi_5 \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{M}^2\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^2$]

- Only 6 material parameters ξ_0, \dots, ξ_5 left
- With a slight loss in accuracy further reduction to 1 material parameter ξ_0 possible

Outlook

In the next step the model will be further adapted and validated for the application to brittle composite materials (Ceramic Matrix Composites):

• Complement the model with a damage evolution

Validation by experimental data acquired by ultrasonic measurements on \bullet damaged samples

References

[1] Chaboche J.L., and Maire J.F. Aerosp. Sci. Technol. 6 (2002), 131-145. [2] Coredebois, J.-P., and Sidoroff, F, Mécanique des Solides Anisotropes, 295 (1982), 761–774. [3] Wulfinghoff, S. et. al., Int. J. Solids and Struct. 121 (2017), 21-32. [4] Zheng, Q., Appl. Mech. Rev., 47 (11), 545–587.