

## Prolonged Self-healing of Laminated Composites via *In Situ* Thermal Remending





Alexander D. Snyder<sup>1</sup>, Z. Phillips<sup>2</sup>, J. Turicek<sup>1</sup>, Prof. Patrick<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering

<sup>2</sup> Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering North Carolina State University (NCSU)



Civil, Construction, & Environmental ENGINEERING Mechanical & Aerospace ENGINEERING



- Background/Motivation
- Constituent materials, System architecture, and Composite fabrication
- Mechanical Testing and Evaluation:
  (I) in-plane tension
  - (II) mode-I fracture
- In Situ Self-Healing Results
- Investigation of Underlying Healing Mechanisms
- Summary/Conclusions



## Motivation

Fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) composites ubiquitous in modern engineered structures:
 (1) high specific strength/stiffness, (2) corrosion resistance, (3) geometric/constituent versatility

### Aerospace





Automotive





Naval



Energy





Civil





## Woven FRP Composites

Hierarchical materials with structural advantage, but also inherent susceptibility to damage





### Laminated Woven Composite



ASM International (2010)



#### **Interlaminar Delamination**



4



# Self-healing Background

Delamination detection is difficult and manual repairs are costly – self-healing offers a bioinspired solution

**Repairs costly/time consuming** 



www.dsto.defence.gov.au

### Possible catastrophic failure



**USAF Hilltop Times** 

### **Self-healing Strategies**

### Vascular





Dynamic rebonding



**Soft Materials** 



Agnew. Chem. 51 (2012)

- Interface contact
- **Room-temperature repair**

### **Structural Materials**



Science 295 (2002)

**Elevated temperature repair** 

Nature 409 (2001)

0

### Limitations:

Polymerized

- Catalve

- Single-heal 0
- Small-scale repair o 0

**Capsule-based** 



Adv. Mater. 22 (2010)

Blockages

Mixing difficult

### Advantages:

Nature 540 (2016)

- Multiple-heals
- No external agent



# **Thermal Remending**

- Inclusion of thermoplastic phase + heat provides capacity for structural composite repair
- Poly(ethylene-co-methacrylic acid) EMAA is a commodity polymer with proven self-healing ability

### Self-healing achieved ex situ and/or above glass-transition temperature (T<sub>g</sub>)

**Thermoplastic-modified Matrices** 



Interlayer Integration



Polymer 92 (2016)





Macromol. Mater. Eng. 295 (2010)



ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 1 (2009)



Compos. Part A 43 (2012)



Compos. Part A 43 (2012)



## New Approach: In Situ Thermal Remending

• Self-healing below laminate glass-transition temperature (T<sub>g</sub>) via *in situ* heating





# **Preform Patterning and Laminate Fabrication**

FDM to micro-pattern EMAA in serpentine geometry directly onto woven reinforcement = scalability



1 mm

500 µm



Investigate effect of composite modification(s) on in-plane tensile performance<sup>†</sup>





<sup>o</sup> Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) to determine thermal remending below T<sub>g</sub> and retain in-service structural performance

DMA Fixture & Specimen

3-pt Flexure (1Hz, 5°C/min)<sup>†</sup>

$$E^{*} = E' + iE'' \qquad \tan(\delta) = \frac{E''}{E'}$$

### Fiber-Composite Constituents:

*Matrix*: DGEBA epoxy system *Reinforcement*: Glass (GFRP) and Carbon Fiber (CFRP);  $V_f = 0.51^{\ddagger}$ 

ASTM E-1640

<sup>‡</sup>ASTM D-2584



### Elastic (storage) Modulus Comparison

	E' 23°C, GPa	E' T <sub>g</sub> , GPa	E' 130°C, GPa
Ероху	2.9	0.1 (3%)	1.3 (45%)
GFRP	16.7	8.7 ( <mark>52%</mark> )	14.2 ( <b>85%</b> )
CFRP	25.7	13.5 ( <mark>53%</mark> )	22.5 ( <b>88%</b> )

\*Percentages (%) represent retained E' vs. value at RT = 23°C

### **Resistive Heater Calibration**





## Mechanical Evaluation: Mode I Fracture





2.1

36

Virgin fracture resistance & healing efficiency scale w/ EMAA areal coverage







## **Topological Investigation of Healing Performance**

• Fiber-reinforcement type influences self-healing behavior







# Capacity for Sustained Self-healing

• 100 heal cycles achieved in GFRP (and CFRP) w/o significant degradation in recovery





\*scale bars = 25 µm

[1] Compos. Sci. Tech. **151** (2017)

Eventual collapse of microporous network by heal cycle 40

[2] Mater. Today Comm. 8 (2020)



Intensity (a.u.)

## FTIR Spectroscopy Reveals Chemical Mechanisms

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy of EMAA supports propensity for perpetual healing

Key Chemical Reactions<sup>†</sup>











FTIR Spectral Evolution of EMAA

I. IIa. IIb

Ester (I, IIa, IIb)

Hydroxyl (I, III)

Processing

0.0



#### FTIR Spectroscopy of EMAA

Invariant: 719 cm<sup>-1</sup> Methylene rocking

**Reactive**: 1406 cm<sup>-1</sup> Carboxylic Acid Hydroxyl stretch, 1535 cm<sup>-1</sup> Carboxylic Acid Ammonium Salt stretch, 1710 cm<sup>-1</sup> Ester Carbonyl stretch, 3247 cm<sup>-1</sup> Hydroxyl stretch

Healing lla, llb

Carboxylic Acid (I, IIa, IIb, III)

Ammonium Salt (IIa, IIb)





- Achieved in situ self-healing via thermal remending in fiber-composites below the glass-transition temperature (T<sub>g</sub>).
- 3D printed EMAA patterns have negligible impact on in-plane structural integrity and increase mode-I fracture resistance (G<sub>IC</sub>). Textile resistive heaters have minor impact on GFRP properties.
- Increasing EMAA areal coverage increases virgin fracture resistance and healing efficiency (> 100%).
- Superior healing performance in GFRP vs. CFRP due to physical properties of fabric architecture and surface chemistry that promotes EMAA microporous network and crack tortuosity.
- Rapid sub-hour (45 min.) and extended in situ heal cycles (100+) demonstrates propensity for practical and perpetual in-service repair.

Snyder et. al, Prolonged In situ Self-healing in Structural Composites via Thermo-reversible Entanglement, Nat. Comm. 13 (2022).

U.S. Patent No. 16/944,675 (Issued March 2023)



## Acknowledgements

### **Funding Source:**

US Army Corps of Engineers Strategic Environmental Research and Defense Program (SERDP) Weapons Systems and Platforms (WP) Grant # W912HQ21C0044

US Air Force Office of Scientific Research Young Investigator Program (YIP) Grant # FA9550-18-1-0048





Sandeep

Prof. Patrick

Zach

Jack





US Army Corps of Engineers<sub>®</sub>





Academic Collaborators:



C. Diesendruck K. Nakshatrala



