## Probabilistic Sensitivity Studies of a Multiscale Model for Bonded Composite Pi-joint Performance



#### **ICCM 23**

International Conference on Composite Materials

Belfast 30 July – 4 August 2023

Distribution A. Approved for Public Release: Unlimited Distribution (AFRL-2023-2442 Cleared: 5/18/2023)





ITARI

**David Riha<sup>1</sup>**, Matthew Kirby<sup>1</sup>, Marcus Stanfield<sup>1</sup>, Carl Popelar<sup>1</sup>, Kevin Hoos<sup>2</sup>, Endel Iarve<sup>2</sup>, and David Mollenhauer<sup>3</sup>

Southwest Research Institute
 University of Texas at Arlington Research Institute
 Air Force Research Laboratory









- I. Background and Motivation: Process-to-Performance Simulation Framework for Bonded Composites
- II. Homogenized Pi-joint Model Approach
  - 1. Mesoscale Textile Model
  - 2. Macroscale, Homogenized Pi-joint Model
- III. Probabilistic Analysis and Uncertainty Quantification
- IV. Global Sensitivity Analysis Results and Discussion

V. Summary















### **Background and Motivation**

- **Bonded composite primary structures for advanced aircraft** systems
  - Advantages: (1) reduced weight, (2) reduced part count, and (3) improved performance
  - **Challenges:** (1) limited software tools for design and analysis, (2) impact of uncertainties and manufacturing defects not well understood<sup>2</sup>, and (3) fasteners used because bond is not trusted
- **OPPERA Program: O**MC (Organic Matrix Composite) **P**rocessto-Performance Evaluation, Research, and Analysis
  - **Program objective:** Develop validated process-to-performance (P2P) methods to predict static response and fatigue life of bonded composite structures  $\rightarrow$  reduce cost and schedule impacts during certification
  - **Demonstration article:** bonded composite pi-preform joint
  - **Study objective:** develop engineering tool for assessing structural response of bonded composite pi-joints under uncertainty
  - **Research question:** What is the relationship between textile architecture and the structural response of the Pi-joint?













Pi-joint Demonstration Article<sup>1</sup>



VERGEN



## Overview of OPPERA P2P Framework

- ► Multiscale framework for process-to-performance (P2P) modeling → mesoscale fiber architecture to macroscale component response
- ► Flexible → multiple paths through the framework to capture various phenomena and allow for flexibility in solution fidelity

#### Predictive Capability

- 1. Fiber bed compaction and relaxation
- 2. Material properties, residual stresses, and porosity evolution during cure
- 3. Damage evolution at mesoscale and macroscale
- 4. Final part capability













# Comparison Approach Comparison Approach



- VTMS simulates the morphology of the textile RVE after weaving
  - Digital chains represent bundles of fibers within the tow  $\rightarrow$  used to achieve tow fiber volume fraction without discretely modeling every fiber in the tow
  - Final architecture based on Fiber relaxation and compaction steps
  - Surface smoothing and volume-based approaches to generate the tow geometry for FEA
- BSAM simulates the mechanical and fracture response of the textile RVE composite
  - The independent mesh method is used for meshing fiber tows and matrix
  - Employs periodic cluster method (PCM)<sup>3</sup> to address limitations associated with non-conforming mesh for side-to-side
  - Calculate the effective elastic properties from 6 loading state

#### Abagus simulates the structural response of the Pi-joint

- Over 100 geometric, mechanical, and fracture parameters in parametric pi-joint model
- Linear elastic material properties for pi-preform, web, and skin and cohesive zone model for adhesive bond











# **CAPERA** Textile Homogenization (VTMS + BSAM)



- Conforming mesh is an issue for complicated textile geometries
- The independent mesh method (IMM) is used to address this meshing issue
  - Combination of direct meshing of the tows and a voxel-based methodology within the matrix
  - Integration enrichment for connectivity between the tows and matrix
  - Limitation: requires node-to-node periodicity on opposing sides of the finite element mesh

# IMM node-to-node periodicity limitation is addressed by periodic cluster method (PCM)<sup>3</sup>

- Utilizes additional plates at the edges of the RVE that connect via penalty connection
- Displacements between opposing periodic clusters are tied together and properties are tailored to provide very little additional stiffness to the model
- Satisfies node-to-node periodicity requirement and allows RVE mesh to be non-conforming side-to-side

#### Calculate the 9 effective elastic properties from 6 loading states



[3] Hoos et al. AIAA SciTech, 2022.







# CARRENT OF CONTRACT OF CONTRACT.



# Parametric Pi-joint Model Development

#### Parametric model of the pi-joint in Abaqus<sup>5</sup>

- Over 100 geometric, mechanical, and fracture parameters
- Linear elastic material properties for pi-preform, web, and skin
- Cohesive zone model for adhesive bond
- Predicts stresses in pi-preform  $\rightarrow$  does not model damage in preform
- Simulates pure pull-off (PO), pure lateral bend (LB), and combined pull-off plus lateral bend (PO+LB) loading configurations
- Currently developing capabilities for pure shear (PS) loading configuration

#### Focus of this study is predicting the lateral bend (LB) response of the pi-joint

- Only have validated mesoscale model for pi-preform leg architecture
- LB response is more sensitive to leg architecture than PO due to bending in the leg
- In this study, the pi-preform base and leg are assumed to have same architecture

#### During LB pi-joint testing, failures have been observed in the adhesive (Mode I fracture) and the pi-preform radius

[5] Kirby et al. AIAA SciTech, 2023. Distribution A. Approved for Public Release: Unlimited Distribution (AFRL-2023-2303 Cleared: 5/12/2023)









Pull-off



Lateral Bend

Predicted Adhesive Failure During Lateral Bend Testing



### **Macroscale Responses of Interest**

#### Failure of the pi-preform in the radius between base and leg is a concern (failure modes 1 & 3)

- The model does not capture pi-preform damage, so max stress & strain in this region are the quantities of interest
- Future work could involve using homogenized strength or strain-based criteria to predict damage onset in preform

#### Macroscale model responses of interest

- Maximum load and stiffness (slope) from force-displacement curve
- Maximum stress in pi-preform radius at maximum load  $\rightarrow$  associated with failure modes 1 & 3



#### **Probabilistic Analysis – Sensitivity Studies CPPERA**

#### **NESSUS®** probabilistic analysis software

- Model inputs can be defined as random variables and described by a probability density function
- Probabilistic methods are used to propagate uncertainties through the models and compute variancebased sensitivities
- Estimate the contribution from aleatory (inherent variations) and epistemic (knowledge-based) uncertainty

#### Sensitivities are dependent on...

- Strength of the correlation between the input parameter and the response
- Range of variation for the random variable in the analysis

#### Sensitivity analysis objectives

- Elucidate relationship between mesoscale architecture and macroscale pi-joint response
- Identifying steps to reduce uncertainty in model predictions and mature the P2P framework











### Characterization and Uncertainty Quantification

#### Mesoscale Textile Model<sup>4</sup>

#### **Macroscale Pi-joint Model<sup>5</sup>**

Constituent Material Property	COV (%)	Number of Measurements ( <i>N</i> )	Characterization Method	Layup	Pi-joint Model Parameter Description	Parameter	Approx. COV (%)	Uncertainty Quantification	
Matrix Tensile Modulus ( <i>E<sub>m</sub></i> )	5.98	12	ASTM D638	N/A	Pi-joint Geometry				
					Base Thickness	tb	3	calibration	
Matrix Poisson's Ratio	1.66	4	ASTM D638	N/A	Base Taper Thickness	tb taper	5	conservative assumption	
					Full Base Width, including taper	wb taper	5	calibration	
					Leg Thickness	tl	3	calibration	
Tensile Modulus (E <sub>1 tow</sub> )	2.17	8	ASTM D3039	[0] <sub>8</sub>	Leg Taper Thickness	tl_taper	5	conservative assumption	
					Leg Width, including taper	wl taper	4	calibration	
Tow Transverse					Unitape Skin Ply Thickness	tply uni	3.75	calibration	
Tensile Modulus	3.16	5	ASTM D3039	[90] <sub>16</sub>	Fabric Web Ply Thickness	tply fab	5	calibration	
(E <sub>2,tow</sub> )					Unitape and Fabric Mechanical Properties				
Tow XY Shear Modulus (G <sub>12,tow</sub> )	3.80	4	non-standard PTS15	[0] <sub>48</sub>	Unitape Skin Shear Modulus G23	<u>G23_u</u>	10	conservative assumption	
					Quasi-isotropic Unitape Mod. E11 Tension	Ell tqu	10	calibration	
Tow XZ Shear Modulus	1.49	6	modified ASTM D2344	[0] <sub>48</sub>	Quasi-Isotropic Fabric Mod. E11 Tension	Ell tqf	10	conservative assumption	
					Adhesive Cohesive Zone Law				
(~13, <i>t0w</i> )					Normal Strength, Mode I	S n	20	calibration	
Tow YZ Shear Modulus (G <sub>23,tow</sub> )	2.30	4	non-standard PTS15	[0] <sub>48</sub>	Shear Strength, Mode II	<u> </u>	20	calibration	
					Mode I Strain Energy Release Rate	G n	20	calibration	
Tow XY Poisson's Ratio (ν <sub>12.tow</sub> )	8.45	3	ASTM D3039	[0] <sub>8</sub>	Mode II Strain Energy Release Rate	G s	20	calibration	
					Mixed-mode Exponent	BK	10	calibration	
Warp Tow Fiber					Compliance Constant, Mode I	alpha n	20	calibration	
Volume Fraction	6.17	8	optical microscopy	N/A	Compliance Constant, Mode II	alpha_s	20	calibration	
(V <sub>f,warp</sub> )					sta	ndard da	miation		
Fill Tow Fiber Volume		10			$COV = \frac{3ta}{2}$				
Fraction (V <sub>f,fill</sub> )	5.14	10	optical microscopy	N/A	mean				
CONCERNEGEARCH LABORAGE	irby et al. AIAA SciT	ech, 2022. [5] Kirby et a	al. AIAA SciTech, 2023.		Distribution A. Approved for Public Release: Unlimited Distribution (AFRL-2023-2303 Cleared: 5/12/2023)				
	SERIE UTARIA ARABIER UNIVERSITE DI CARA ARABIER DI CARA ARABIE						KHEED MARTIN 11		



# Maximum Load Response

- Max load is primarily governed by failure of the adhesive but also influenced by geometry
- Most sensitive to the Mode I cohesive zone strength  $\rightarrow$ unexpected for a bending test
- Of constituent properties, only sensitive to  $V_{f,warp}$ 
  - $E_1$  in the leg is most sensitive to uncertainty in  $V_{f,warp}^4$
  - Beam theory  $\rightarrow$ bending stress is a function of  $E_1$  in the leg













## Stiffness Response

Stiffness is sensitive to pi-joint geometry, not adhesive failure

0.50

0.45

0.40

0.35

0.30

Lateral Bend

LITAR

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT ARLINGTON RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Bending stiffness directly related to fabric web thickness and E<sub>1</sub> in leg



(DAYTON

RESEARCH



13

Stiffness Main Effects

Stiffness Total Effects

Lateral Bend

- LB-1 \_\_\_\_ LB-2 - LB-3

1.0

Normalized Force

CONVERGENT



# Maximum Stress Response

- Max stress in the pipreform during LB is in the radius btwn base and leg
- Max stress is primarily sensitive to constituent properties  $(V_{f,warp})$  and is also influenced by geometry
- Should pursue efforts to reduce uncertainty in V<sub>f,warp</sub> and tb
- Interaction btwn pipreform fracture and adhesive failure is not captured in model













# **Cumulative Distribution Function Analysis**

- Can use model for max stress or max load to compare to experimental data  $\rightarrow$ reliability analysis
  - Could compute the probability that the max stress exceeds the strength
  - Could estimate probability of pi-preform fracture vs. failure in the adhesive for pristine pi-joints
- Limited data for leg strength (5 tests) is a significant source of uncertainty  $\rightarrow$ recommend additional tests to reduce uncertainty
- uncertainty using mesoscale model compare to measured uncertainty?



Maximum Stress vs. Leg Strength (S11)















# **Summary and Next Steps**

- Developing a multiscale 3D textile pi-joint model to aid in design and analysis of textile architectures and investigate their impact on component performance
- Sensitivities provide an understanding of the impact of uncertainty at the constituent level on uncertainty in the predicted pi-joint response
- Limitation of the parametric pi-joint model = damage only modeled in the adhesive  $\rightarrow$  global force-displacement response does not show sensitivity to constituent properties other than V<sub>f,warp</sub>
- Future work:
  - Use separate textile models for base, leg, and transition region
  - Compare and contrast different fiber weave architectures and constituent materials (different fiber and resin)
  - Look at additional loading cases  $\rightarrow$  only looked at lateral bend so far
  - Further investigation of uncertainty in fiber volume fraction  $\rightarrow$  all responses sensitive to  $V_{f,warp}$
- The homogenized model approach is only one piece of the larger P2P framework that is being developed under OPPERA









