# LCA of CFRP in Aerospace: Energy Modelling of Autoclave Curing

<u>Chizoba Josphine Ogugua</u>, Sabin Anton, Mikel Dominguez Larrabeiti, Aditya Tripathi, Sean van Hees, Jos Sinke, Clemens Dransfeld

Aerospace Manufacturing Technologies Group

ICCM 23, Belfast, July - August 2023





- Motivation and objectives
- Autoclave curing
- Energy monitoring using power meter
- > Parametric models for energy consumption
- $\succ$  Results and discussion
- $\succ$  Conclusion



## **CFRP in Aerospace Applications - Advantages**



#### **CFRP Value Chain in Aerospace Applications**



## **Manufacturing CFRP in Aerospace Applications**

> Mainstream is thermoset CFRP with autoclave curing

**ŤU**Delft





#### Manual Collation

Automated Collation – AFP Robots

- What are the energyIntensive process Steps?
- What parameters

#### **Goal and Objectives**

- Create reliable energy inventory data for autoclave CFRP manufacturing
  - Can be used in LCA studies and cost estimations
- Identify hot spots regarding energy consumption
- > Identify process and design parameters that influence energy consumption
  - For optimisation

How?

**ŤU**Delft

- Energy monitoring using power meter Experimental study
- Energy estimation using thermodynamics based models





### **Energy Monitoring: CFRP Panel Manufacture**

- ✓ Manufacture a CF/Epoxy panel via manual collation and autoclave curing.
  - Monitor Energy Consumption
    - Using 3-phase Power meter
    - Convert power logs to energy logs integral
- $\checkmark$  Identify process steps with the most energy consumption









#### **Results:** Autoclave Energy Monitoring



Chizoba Josphine Ogugua | ICCM 23, Belfast

#### **Thermodynamics Based Models**

Two different models were used

MRT LBM – Multirelaxation Time Lattice Boltzman

Method

- Analytical Method Why?
  - Parametric and Scalable
  - Breakdown energy
     consumption Heat
     absorbing elements and
     heat losses

**ÍU**Delft



### **Thermodynamics Based Models: MRT LBM Method**



#### **Thermodynamics Based Models: Energy Conservation**

Ist Order Thermodynamics Law: Energy Conservation

=

*f***U**Delft

$$Q_{inflow} = \frac{Q_{heat} + Q_{loss}}{\eta_{eff}} \times \eta_{Loading}$$

$$Q_{heat} = \rho. V. C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$$

$$Q_{heat}$$

$$= (M_F C_{p_F} + M_R C_{p_R} + M_{con} C_{p_{con}} + M_{air} C_{p_{air}} + M_{autoclave} C_{p_{autoclave}}) \cdot (T_{cure} - T_{\infty})$$

$$Q_{loss} = A \times \frac{K_a}{s} \times (T_r - T_{out}) \times t$$
Considering heating energy for heating up only laminates,  

$$Q_{heat_L} = \rho. V. C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = h. A(T - T_{\infty})$$

Chizoba Josphine Ogugua | ICCM 23, Belfast

Vacuum pump

Compressor

ith

m

Heating elements

#### **Results: Model Comparison**

20.0 17.515.0 Energy [kWh] 12.5 10.0 7.5 5.0 Simulated Energy Analytical Method 2.5 Experimental Energy Log Simulated Energy MRT LBM Method 0.0 20 60 80 40 100 120 140 0 Time [min]

#### Simulated Autoclave Energy Consumption

- ✓ 10% difference between the two methods
- ✓ MRT LBM was a better match to the monitored result (only 1% difference.)
- Analytical Method has the least computational effort

**ÍU**Delft



#### **Results: Energy Breakdown**

Energy consumed by each heat absorbing element within the autoclave.

- ✓ Heat absorbed by the composite part < 3%</li>
- ✓ Heat transfer to autoclave body contributed 75%
- ✓ Heat loss through the walls 22%

**ŤU**Delft



#### **Results: What-if Analysis**

#### Influence of Design and Process Parameters



Chizoba Josphine Ogugua | ICCM 23, Belfast

#### **Results: What-if Analysis**

Influence of Design and Process Parameters

 ✓ Cure temperature influence energy consumption

- Cycle time also influence energy consumption
- Thermal mass dominate for short cycles
- Thermal mass and heat
   losses dominate for
   short cycles

**U**Delft

400 70 Consumption per Cycle [kWh] 350 60 300 🔾 50 Preset Temperature 40 30 Ts 120 °C - Analytical 20 Ts 120 °C - Simulated Energy Ts 180 °C - Analytical 10 Ts 180 °C - Simulated 50 Tp 380 °C - Analytical Tp 380 °C - Simulated 0 0 200 100 300 400 500 0 Time [*min*]

Chizoba Josphine Ogugua | ICCM 23, Belfast

### Conclusion

- ✓ Autoclave curing is a major contributor to energy consumption of CFRP Manufacturing
  - Energy Efficiency of Autoclave curing lies below 3%
- ✓ Thermodynamics based models can be used to create energy estimates of autoclave curing
  - Code readily available under MIT license (Please scan QR code)
  - Model can be used in autoclave design optimisation, process optimisation, energy inventory

especially in aerospace industry where data is proprietary and isn't readily available.

✓ Autoclave thermal mass and heat losses are major contributors to energy consumption of autoclave curing.





### **Models for Estimating Energy Consumption**

Composites: Part A 166 (2023) 107365



Energy analysis of autoclave CFRP manufacturing using thermodynamics based models

Chizoba J. Ogugua<sup>1,\*</sup>, Sabin V. Anton<sup>1</sup>, Aditya P. Tripathi<sup>1</sup>, Miguel Dominguez Larrabeiti<sup>1</sup>, Sean O. van Hees<sup>1</sup>, Jos Sinke<sup>2</sup>, Clemens A. Dransfeld<sup>3</sup>

Aerospace Manufacturing Technologies, Delft University of Technology, Kluyverweg 1, Delft, 2629 HS, South Holland, The Netherlands





Chizoba Josphine Ogugua | ICCM 23, Belfast

Check fo updates