



# Inverse design of shape-morphing structures based on functionally graded composites

International Conference on Composite Materials 2023

#### **Hirak Kansara**

PhD Student in Mechanical Engineering Queen Mary University of London

With excellent contributions from: <u>Mingchao Liu</u> (Birmingham), <u>Yinfeng He</u> (Nottingham), <u>Wei Tan</u> (QMUL)



2D flat shape

Forward design

Inverse desigr



## Outline

## 1. Motivation

2. Methods

### 3. Results

### **Morphing structures**



NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center

Sofla, A.Y.N., et al. *Materials & Design*, 2010.

Advanced Robotics at Queen Mary

Morphing structures have the ability to change their shape as deployable structures, improve aerodynamic performance, or achieve specific manipulation/motion.



### Some candidate morphing structures:

Shape-morphing structures that transform from **flat 2D sheets** to **3D shapes** are desired due to simplicity in fabrication and transportation (via stacking).

#### 2D flat fabric



 $\kappa = 0$ 

Deformation

#### 3D shape after draping



[Gupta et al. 2019]

 $\kappa = 0$ 

#### $\Box$ Isometries cannot alter the Gaussian curvature ( $\kappa$ ).



#### Methods to achieve morphing from flat sheet:





### **Inverse design problem:**



> How to determine a 2D pattern that deforms into a desired 3D shape?



## Outline

## 1. Motivation

## 2. Methods

### 3. Results

### Start from the beam problem:

We can simplify the axisymmetric problem into 1D beam problem:

Top view

Side view



□ The load from the transverse and out-of-plane direction will introduce beam buckling.



#### **Tapered beam equation**

Tapered beam equation relates the shape of an elastic strip (LHS) under mechanical loading to the curvature of a 3D shape (RHS) using non-linear beam theory.



#### **Tapered Elastica equation:**

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \left[ \hat{E}(\xi) \cdot \hat{I}(\xi) \cdot \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} \right] = -\hat{H} \frac{d\hat{z}}{d\xi} - \hat{V} \frac{d\hat{x}}{d\xi}$$

$$\hat{I}(\xi) = \frac{\hat{w}(\xi) \cdot \hat{t}(\xi)^3}{12}$$

Control:

- Moment of inertia (width/thickness)
- Local Young's Modulus

□ Control the local bending stiffness to achieve a specific morphing shape.



#### **Previous work: Uniform thickness and tessellation**

#### **Without tessellation**

Applying horizontal force and assuming uniform thickness.

$$\hat{w}(\xi) = \tilde{H} \frac{(\hat{z}_* - \hat{z})}{\theta_{\xi}(\xi)}$$

#### With tessellation

Following tessellation condition.

$$\hat{w}(\xi) = \frac{2L}{w_0} \cdot \hat{x}(\xi) \cdot \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right)$$

$$\hat{t}(\xi)^3 = \tilde{H} \frac{(\hat{z}_* - \hat{z})}{w(\xi) \cdot \theta_{\xi}}$$



[Liu, M. et al. Soft Matter, 2020, 16, 7739-7750]

#### □ Structures with varying thickness are difficult to store and incompatible with brittle material.



### **Previous work: Distributed local porosity**

Assuming uniform thickness and following the tessellation condition.

Level of local porosity,  $\phi$ , enables bending stiffness to be varied.

$$\phi(\xi) = 1 - \tilde{H} \frac{(\hat{z}_* - \hat{z})}{\hat{w}(\xi) \cdot \theta_{\xi}}$$



□ However, size of porosity may adversely affect load bearing capacity of morphing structures.



### **Our work: Modulus-graded beam**

Assuming non-uniform modulus distribution with uniform thickness and tessellation.



Modulus distribution is achieved by varying volume fraction of bi-phase composites. But...



How to determine the composition of each material?
AND
How to fabricate the graded composite?



#### **Voxelated graded composites**

One strategy involves discretising the geometry using voxels.



□ The modulus of each slice can be designed to achieve a certain modulus.



### **Additive Manufacturing of Graded Composites**



□ The two compatible polymers have good interface bonding.



### **Additive Manufacturing of Graded Composites**



□ Two compatible elastomers are used to manufacture graded composite (FLX9070 is soft and FLX9095 is rigid).

Increase in voxel size

0.25 mm 0.5 mm 1 mm **50% composition** 



## **Design principle**

Design strategy employed to inverse design desired 3D shape.





## Outline

## 1. Motivation

## 2. Methodologies

## 3. Results

### Validation (FEM vs Experiment)

#### Target: 3D tessellating hemisphere



□ A good agreement between FEM predictions, analytical solution and experiment.



### Load-bearing capacity

It is essential to evaluate their load-bearing capacities, before engineering application.



Experiment



□ Indentation tests to determine the stiffness and the energy absorption capacity.



### **Load-bearing capacity**

3D tessellating hemiellispsoids with varying aspect ratios.



 $\Box$  Indentation tests to determine the stiffness (*K*) and the energy absorption capacity ( $\psi$ ).







#### **Aggregate patterns of materials**



The same morphing structure using of various aggregate patterns in either width or thickness direction.
This is to avoid generating too many interfaces if the bonding is not very well.



#### Why use composites instead of a single material for morphing?

Composites can be designed to blend the distinct advantages of two different materials.

#### Sensing and energy storage



[ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2022, 14, 29, 33871-33880]

#### Heat management



https://physicsworld.com/a/cool-graphene-composites-block-em-radiation/



### **Multifunction – Hemisphere (Heat transfer)**



Composite material that exhibits intermediate

#### Before morphing



#### After morphing



thermal conductivity.

### **Multifunction – Hemisphere (Electric potential)**



#### Before morphing

#### After morphing

Soft Polymer (High electrical conductivity)

Rigid Polymer (High thermal conductivity)



#### **Multifunction – Hemisphere**



□ The temperature and electrical potential profiles vary with different compositional patterns.



#### **Composite blending**



> Composite material that exhibits favourable properties for both electrical and thermal conductivities.



### **Potential multifunctional applications:**





O2 arena



Nosecone



Heat shield



### **Conclusion:**

- The modulus profile of tapered spokes needed to achieve a desired 3D deformation was inversely designed using the **Tapered Beam equation**.
- We have achieved the graded morphing composites based on rule of mixtures, discretised voxels and additive manufacturing.
- **Multifunctional morphing composites** blend the distinct advantages of two different materials and combined effective properties in a single structure.

### **Future work:**

• Achieving **self-actuation** by embedding stimuli-responsive material within the print or as an addition to the printed structure.



## Thank you for your attention!

#### **References:**

 Kansara, H., Liu, M.\*, He, Y., Tan, W.\* (2023). Inverse design and additive manufacturing of shapemorphing structures based on functionally graded composites. J Mech. Phys. Solids, Under Review.
Liu, M., Domino, L., Vella, D.\* (2020). Tapered elasticæ as a route for axisymmetric morphing structures. Soft Matter, 16, 7739–7750.
Zhang, Y., Yang, J., Liu, M.\*, Vella, D. (2022). Shape-morphing structures based on perforated kirigami. Extre. Mech. Lett., 56, 101857.

#### **Research sponsors:**

**Open-source research code:** 



Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council





### Gaussian curvature [Gauss, 1827]



• The Gaussian curvature of a surface is invariant under local isometry.



#### Summary of the overall framework





## **Voxelated graded composites**

Plotting the composite modulus against the volume fraction for longitudinal direction,  $E_{xx}$ .



Interestingly,  $\mathbf{E}_{xx}$  scales with the volume fraction due to affine deformation, whereby a global deformation is translated uniformly to microscale.

Results follow the Voigt (Upper) bound of rule of mixtures.

 $\mathbf{E}_{xx}(\xi) = f_r(\xi)E_r + f_s(\xi)E_s$ 

Bending deformation is primarily dependent on longitudinal modulus,  $E_{xx}$ , so we only need to match the modulus profile in that direction.



### **Voxelated graded composites**

Plotting the composite modulus against the volume fraction for transverse,  $E_{yy}$ , and out-ofplane directions,  $E_{zz}$ .



Voxels under constant stress undergo non-affine deformation, resulting from a mismatch of strain.

Points are bounded by the Voigt (Upper) and Reuss (Lower) bounds of rule of mixtures.

$$E_{yy} = E_{zz} = \left[\frac{f_r(\xi)}{E_r} + \frac{f_s(\xi)}{E_s}\right]^{-1}$$

Models such as Hashin--Shtrikman could also be used to capture micro-mechanics of bi-phase materials but at heightened complexity.







## Multifunctional – Rectangle shapes



	Soft Polymer (PI + 15% Graphite)	Rigid Polymer (PLA + 30% Carbon fibre)
Thermal conductivity (W/mK)	0.3155	2.01
Electrical conductivity (S/m)	1E-7	2.86E-23

Governing equations: <u>Transient heat transfer:</u>

$$\nabla^2 T + \frac{\dot{q}}{k} = \frac{\rho C_p}{k} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$$

**Electrical conductivity:** 

P -	-V	 1	L
u =	$\bar{I}$	 $\bar{\sigma}$	A

### **Rectangle shape: heat transfer properties**



> The temperature profiles vary with different compositional patterns.



### **Rectangle shape: electrical conduction properties**



> The electrical potential profiles vary with different compositional patterns.



## Some candidate morphing structures:

Shape-morphing structures that transform from flat 2D sheets to 3D are desired due to simplicity in fabrication and transportation (via stacking).





### If you change Gaussian curvature:

#### 2D flat fabric



K = 0

#### 3D shape after draping



K > 0

#### □ Change the Gaussian curvature will cause severe wrinkling!

[Gupta et al. 2019]



### Some candidate morphing structures:

Shape-morphing structures that transform from **flat 2D sheets** to **3D shapes** are desired due to simplicity in fabrication and transportation (via stacking).

Gaussian curvature [Gauss, 1827]



□ The Gaussian curvature of a surface is invariant under local isometry.



https://math.etsu.edu/