#### Carbonization Under Confinement: Predicting Charring Behavior of Carbon Composite Resins

Jacob Gissinger\* and Kristopher Wise NASA Langley Research Center

> \*jacob.r.gissinger@gmail.com ICCM 23



All Images Credit NASA unless otherwise indicated







## Composites are Pervasive in Aerospace

- Composites have replaced many components of aircraft and spacecraft
- Huge design space: the efficacy of new chemistries is difficult to predict
- Computational screening can help guide us toward next-generation high-temperature resins



# Why is Char Yield Important?

- Char yield is the amount of material left over after subjecting it to high temperature pyrolysis
  - Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is used to obtain plots of mass vs time and/or temperature (typically ramped up to 800°C -1000°C)
- High char materials require fewer cycles of carbonization and resin infiltration to achieve desired properties



## **Char Yield Simulation Protocol**

- Temperature ramp cycle
  - 300 K 3000 K at 10 K/ps
  - High temperatures/rates to accelerate reactions
- Anneal at high pressure (1 GPa) to achieve final densities of 1.8 g/cm<sup>3</sup> - 2.0 g/cm<sup>3</sup>
- ReaxFF with periodic removal of outgassing products to allow for carbonization and densification
- Initial system size: 36000 atoms



## **Char Yield Results**

- Simulation protocol able to accurately predict char yield trends across a wide range of functional groups, heteroatom content and char yield values
- Chemically specific method
- No assumptions or fitting of experimental results



Gissinger et al. "Predicting char yield of high-temperature resins." *Carbon* 202 (2023): 336-347.



## **Mechanical Properties**

- Nonporous char morphology results in high predicted elastic moduli
- Predicted values in expected range for glassy carbon (~30 GPa @ 1.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), but far lower than high modulus carbon fibers
- Highlights importance of achieving structures with high density and low defects, porosity



# Final Morphology: Ring Distribution

- Final carbonized structure consists primarily of fused five-, six- and sevenmembered carbon rings
- Twice as many six-membered rings as other sizes, but rings are well distributed with respect to ring size
- Similar final morphology obtained for lower char yield resins

49.8 A

Cyanate ester (BADCY)

Gissinger et al. *Carbon* 202 (2023): 336-347.





Polyarylacetylene (PAA)

Six-membered ring

# **Outgassing Products**

- Protocol keeps track of molecules removed from the system to mimic outgassing
- Primarily CO for oxygencontaining resins (highly stable bond)
- Useful metric to compare to experimental techniques such as TGA-mass spectrometry to confirm the chemistry is being captured accurately

TGA: Thermal Gravimetric Analysis



## Tools for Direct Experimental Comparison

- Simulated XRD pattern allows for direct comparison with experimental morphologies
- Curve is typical of non-graphitized glassy carbon at lower carbonization temperatures
- The 002 peak, which indicates graphitic structure, notably sharpens after increasing the annealing time



## Simulating high-resolution microscopy





**Experimental HRTEM vs. carbonization temperature** Jurkiewicz, Karolina, et al. "Evolution of glassy carbon under heat treatment: correlation structure–mechanical properties." *Journal of materials science* 53.5 (2018): 3509-3523.

Simulated microscopy consistent with non-graphitized glassy carbon at lower temperatures

## Adding Interfaces to the Model

- Triple-layer graphene inserted into initial monomer configuration for composite model
- Bulk PAN char: 54 wt%, 1.76 g/cm<sup>3</sup>
- Confined PAN: 42 wt%, 1.96 g/cm<sup>3</sup>
- Significantly larger regions of fused sixmembered rings observed at interface due to templating effect of graphene



PAN char (graphene hidden)



<sup>6.8</sup> nm

## Neat vs Confined Resin Char Yields

- Char yields for confined resins in composite systems matched those recorded for neat resin
- Implies that prediction of char yield is mostly independent of degree of confinement for this modeling protocol
- Technical Note: Graphene sheets should remain mobile to obtain realistic results





# Reactivity at the Graphene Sheet Interface

- It was critical to keep graphene surface mobile to achieve charring behavior
- At each graphene-resin interface (~46 nm<sup>2</sup>), crosslinking occurred at ~7 sites, often involving two or more adjacent crosslinks that distort the surface



## A Promising Method for Predicting Char Yield

- A chemically specific protocol was developed to predict char yield for high temperature resins
  - No prior knowledge of high-temperature behavior required
- Validated for low, medium and high char yield resins with various chemical structures and number of heteroatoms
- Additional outputs include atomistic structure, composition, morphology, mechanical properties, chemical pathways, outgassing products
- Currently being used to investigate and screen new chemistries









Email: jacob.r.gissinger@gmail.com

References (experimental char yield values):

PAA (polyarylacetylene): Katzman, H. A. et al. DTIC Accession Number ADA302053, 1995.
TPEB (1,2,4,5-tetraphenylethynylbenzene): Jones, K. M. et al. Polymer, 36, 187, 1995.
P1 (phthalonitrile): Hu, Y. et al. RSC Advances, 8, 32899, 2018.
BADCY (bisphenol A dicyanate ester): Wang, Y. et al. Polymer, 77, 354, 2015.
PAN (polyacrylonitrile): Song, C. et al. J Porous Materials, 16, 197, 2009.
Adamantane: Kazanskii, B. A. et al. Russian Chemical Bulletin, 17, 2506, 1968.

#### Strain to Failure



### **Carbon Hybridization/Heteroatoms Evolution**

