









"DYNAMIC BEHAVIOR OF UNIDIRECTIONAL AND CROSS-PLY FLAX/EPOXY LAMINATES MADE OF A HYBRID UD-MAT REINFORCEMENT"

Samer El Khoury Rouphael^{1,2,3}, Thuy-Quynh Truong-Hoang¹, Gilbert Lebrun², Fabienne Touchard³

¹ ESTACA'LAB, Pôle MSCE, France

² UQTR (Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières), Département de Génie Mécanique, I2E3, Québec - Canada

³ CNRS-ISAE-ENSMA-Université de Poitiers, Institut P', DPMM, France





Table of contents

Introduction and Objective

Processing and Materials

Results

Conclusion and Future Outlook





Introduction

Overview



Context

Investigation of the impact load and fatigue behavior on laminated composite materials

Comparison between UD and crossply flax/epoxy laminates



Flax fibers

One of the best among the natural fibers in terms of mechanical properties

The UD-mat reinforcement used in this study is relatively new

No similar studies were ever conducted on such materials

Objective Impact and fatigue behaviors

Necessity of materials characterization under dynamic loads

Limited research on the fatigue of NFC which is insufficient for engineering design





Materials





ESTACA ECOLE D'INGENIEURS Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières Université du Québec D'INGENIEURS Université du Québec

Reinforcements fabrication



Short flax fibers





Molding (RTM process)

Reinforcements placement according to the desired configuration

Epoxy resin injection

Molded plate







Mechanical tests

Parameters

Tensile tests (Servo-hydraulic test machine Instron 8801, force capacity up to 100 kN) - ASTM D3039/D3039M

Head displacement rate = 2 mm/min

Fatigue tests – ASTM D3479/D3479M

Load ratio, R = 0,1 (= $\sigma_{min}/\sigma_{max}$) Frequency, f = 5 Hz Load levels: 40%, 60% and 80% of the ultimate tensile strength (UTS)

Impact tests (Instron CEAST 9350 Drop tower) – ASTM D7136/D7136M Impact energies = 3, 5, 8 and 11 J

Test	Dimensions (mm x mm x mm)
Tensile and fatigue	150 x 25 x 4,2
Impact	150 x 90 x 4,2

For each laminate :

Five tests were conducted for tensile tests At least 3 tests were conducted for each fatigue level and for each impact energy



Hemispherical impactor ø = 20 mm

Specimen for calibration





Impact tests – Load-displacement curves







- Peak loads :
 - higher ones for FUM090
 - progressive increase for FUM0
 - stabilization starting at 8J for FUM090
- Permanent displacements :
 - progressive increase for both laminates
 - higher ones for the FUM0 starting at 5J





Impact tests – Energy absorption



- High absorbed energy which increases progressively with the impact energy
- Energy absorption ratio (E_{abs}/E_i) :
 - increases progressively
 - although both of the laminates exhibit comparable ratios, starting at 5 J a higher absorption ratio is recorded for the FUM0



This shift can be explained by an earlier occurrence of fiber breakage in the UD laminates, potentially absorbing more energy than matrix cracks and delamination





Impact tests – Damage inspections at 5 J et 8 J for FUM0 **Microtomography**

Visual inspections



Rear face





Rear face



- Damages FUM0 at 5 J :
 - · Longitudinal and transverse cracks
 - Matrix and bending cracks
 - Fiber breakage starting at 5J

- Damages FUM0 at 8 J :
 - Elliptical shape of the damaged zone
 - · Delamination and propagation of damages in a conical shape
 - · Fiber breakage intensification





Impact tests – Damage inspections at 5 J et 8 J for FUM090 **Microtomography**

Visual inspections











- Damages FUM090 at 5 J :
 - Butterfly shape
 - Longitudinal crack
 - Delamination (dominant damage mode), matrix and bending cracks
 - No detected fiber breakage

FUM090, $E_i = 8 J$



20 mm

Rear face



- Damages FUM090 at 8 J :
 - Widening of the damaged area,
 - Delamination and propagation of damages in a conical shape.





Fatigue tests – S-N curves



- Higher fatigue life for the FUM0
- Wöhler's model and damage kinetics:

$$log(N_f) = A + B \sigma_{max} \rightarrow \sigma_{max} = \alpha log(N_f) + \beta$$

Material	α	β
	(MPa/log(cycles))	(MPa)
FUM0	-54,30	444,74
FUM090	-24,85	219,55

 \rightarrow The cross-ply laminates show a better fatigue stability and a slower damage kinetics

• The presence of the flax mat in the reinforcement seems to ameliorate the fatigue behavior due to the fatigue life obtained, when comparing to some reported results in the literature

¹Liang S, Gning P-B, Guillaumat L. Properties evolution of flax/epoxy composites under fatigue loading. Int J Fatigue 2014;63:36–45 ²Bensadoun F, Vallons KAM, Lessard LB, Verpoest I, Van Vuure AW. Fatigue behaviour assessment of flax-epoxy composites. Compos Part A-Appl S 2016;82:253–66





Fatigue tests – Secant modulus evolution



Despite the large standard-deviation a stiffening effect is observed for all fatigue levels and for both laminates Cases with quasi-stabilization (60% UTS), others with a continuous increase (80% UTS) or notable decrease (40% UTS)

FUM090



FUM0 :

- Stiffening at 40% UTS followed by a progressive decrease of the stiffness starting at 0.2N_f
- More pronounced stiffening effect (on average : 8 – 11 %)

FUM090 :

- Stiffening effect : 1 9 %
- Higher stiffening for lower fatigue levels





FUM090 tested under fatigue loading, $\sigma_{max} = 80\% \sigma_{R}$

Results

Fatigue tests – SEM images

FUM0 tested under fatigue loading, $\sigma_{max} = 80\% \sigma_{R}$







Conclusion and future outlook

Impact

- FUM090 have a better impact response than the FUM0
- High energy absorption for both laminates
- Fiber breakage and matrix cracks for FUM0 and mainly delamination for FUM090

Fatigue

- Slower damage kinetics for FUM090
- Stiffening effect, on average :
 - 8 -11 % for FUM0
 - 1−9 % for FUM090

Fatigue after impact of the same materials of this study









