



Fakultät Maschinenwesen, Fakultät Verkehrswissenschaften "Friedrich List"

# **Experimental Description of Draping Effects and their Influence on the Structural Behavior of Fiber Reinforced Composites**

ICCM 22 2019 – Melbourne, 12-16<sup>th</sup> August 2019

**Eckart Kunze** 

Siegfried Galkin Benjamin Gröger Robert Böhm

Luise Kärger Maik Gude











- Motivation
- Draping Effects and Methodology
- Experimental approach
- Simulation approach
- Results

# **Motivation**



#### Inclusion of processing effects into structural simulation





13 August 2019 Experimental Description of Draping Effects and their Influence on the Structural Behavior of Fiber Reinforced Composites

# **Draping effects - definition**











#### 2. Transverse compression

(due to compression or shear)



# $tc = \frac{b_{tc}}{b} < 1$

# $\varphi = \frac{n \, m_A * \frac{b_{g/tc}}{b}}{\rho \, t} = \varphi_{initial}$

**3. Gapping** (due to transverse tension)





 $m_A$  – areal weight n – number of layers  $\rho$  – density of fiber t – thickness  $\varphi$  –fiber volume content

# **Methodical approach**





13 August 2019 Experimental Description of Draping Effects and their Influence on the Structural Behavior of Fiber Reinforced Composites

# **Experimental approach**

#### Material forming on structural level and reproducing effects on coupon level





# Analysis of draping effects on structural level

#### Quantification and investigation of the effect limits





#### Quantification and representation on map with areas of interest

# $\begin{array}{c|c} 20 & 19 & 18 \\ \hline 20 & 19 & 18 \\ \hline 20 & 19 & 18 \\ \hline 21 & 11 & 1 & 6 & 16 \\ \hline 21 & 11 & 1 & 6 & 16 \\ \hline 22 & 23 & 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$

- optical 3D-measurement with ATOS (GOM)
- Measurement of distances on the polygonised virtual image
- Visualization through colored regions (Shape ≙ spatial extension, Color ≙ Maximum measured)

#### **Tested configurations**

• 3 blank holder configurations



- 2 ply lay ups
  - a) Unidirectional 90° (2 plies)
  - b) Bidirectional 0°/90° (2 plies)



# Forming on coupon level

Reproducing draping effects for mechanical testing

K

- Fabric samples with predefined deformation states
- One tool for each draping effect
  - Sliding mechanism for waviness and gaps
  - Shear frame for transverse compression
- Single fabric layers with draping effects
  - Local fixation of draping effects with binder
- Stacking of single layers
- Optical analysis of single layers and Computer tomography of the final stack
- Inferences on the fiber volume content φ are made from measured areal weight of fabric [3]

$$\varphi = \frac{n}{\rho \cdot t} \frac{m_{f,i}}{A_0}$$

 $\varphi$  -fiber volume content  $m_{f,i}$ : fabric weight per area A<sub>0</sub>  $m_{f,0}$ : undeformed  $m_{f,w}$ : with waviness  $m_{f,g}$ : with gapping  $m_{f,tc}$ : with transverse compression



Schematic: sliding mechanism for waviness [3]





# Simulation approach

A continuous virtual process chain



- Continuous virtual process chain: information from each simulation step is transferred to the next simulation step
- Macroscopic draping simulation model: prediction of material behavior and nonlinear deformation of the UD non-crimp fabric [4]
- Based on the deformation of each mesh element, draping effects like local fiber orientation and varying fiber volume content are processed and exported to a neutral file format for the mapping step [5]
- After mapping the draping information is available to a macroscopic damage model for UD composites

- Local fiber orientation
- Local fiber volume content
- Local waviness

Mapping from draping simulation mesh to structural simulation mesh

Processing information at each integration point of the structural simulation



# Modelling on micro, meso and macro scale

#### Multiscale evaluation of draping effect



- Multiscale approach for evaluation of draping effects on the structural performance
- Variation of fiber volume content (FVC) and amplitude to wavelength ratio A/λ on different length scales
- Analysis of failure initiation and damage progression for varying fiber volume content at undulated and nonundulated areas
- Comparison of simulation results at different length scales with experimental results



# **Material model**

#### **Constitutive law - Fiber**



- Fibers under shear load undergo large rigid body rotations
   → hypo-elastic damage material model is implemented as UMAT
- Rotation tensor  $l_{ij}$  is computed via deformation gradient  $F_{ij}$ :

$$l_{ij} = (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i)_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_j)_{\mathbf{p}} \quad \text{with} \quad (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i)_{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{F_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_j)_{\mathbf{p}}}{\|F_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_j)_{\mathbf{p}}\|}$$

with  $p~\cong$  co-rotational Abaqus CSYS and  $~m~\cong$  Material CSYS

• Strains are rotated to the material coordinate system

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{(t+\Delta t)}\right)_{\mathrm{m}} = \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{(t)}\right)_{\mathrm{m}} + l_{ik}l_{jl}\left(\Delta\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{kl}\right)_{\mathrm{p}}$$

• The calculated material stresses are rotated back to the co-rotational frame

$$\left(\sigma_{kl}\right)_{\mathrm{p}} = l_{ki} l_{lj} \left(\sigma_{ij}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}$$

• Fibers are considered non-Hookean linear elastic and transverse-isotropic, with the stiffness tensor defined in the local material coordinate system *m*:  $C_{ijkl} = \lambda \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + 2\mu_T I_{ijkl}^{(s)} + \alpha \left(\delta_{ij} n_k n_l + n_i n_j \delta_{kl}\right) + 2 \left(\mu_L - \mu_T\right) I_{ijkl}^A + \beta n_i n_j n_k n_l$ where  $n = (1, 0, 0)^T$  and  $I_{ijkl}^A = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_{ik} n_j n_l + \delta_{il} n_j n_k + \delta_{jl} n_i n_k + \delta_{jk} n_i n_l\right)$ 



non-Hookean linear elastic material behavior



# **Material model**

#### **Constitutive law - Matrix**

• Matrix material modelled with hypo-viscoplastic approach with isotropic damage

#### Viscoplasticity

• Yield surface proposed by [Tschoegel 1971] or [Raghava et al. 1973]

$$\Phi_{\rm pl} = 6J_2 + 2(\sigma_{\rm c} - \sigma_{\rm t})I_1 - 2\sigma_{\rm c}\sigma_{\rm t} = 0$$

• Plastic flow rule (in incremental form)

$$\Delta \varepsilon^{\rm pl} = \Delta \gamma \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma}$$

• Plastic multiplier  $\Delta \gamma$  according to [Perzyna 1966]

$$\Delta \gamma = egin{cases} rac{1}{\mu} [F\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{pl}}
ight)]^{1/h}, & \Phi_{\mathrm{pl}} = 0 \ 0, & \Phi_{\mathrm{pl}} < 0 \end{cases}$$

• Plastic potential of a non-associative flow rule

$$g = \sqrt{\sigma_{\rm vm}^2 + \alpha p^2}$$

where  $\alpha$  controls the volumetric component of the flow [Melro et al. 2013]



Yield surface proposed by Tschoegel or Raghava



# **Material model**

#### **Constitutive law – macroscopic UD composite**



- Hypo-elastic anisotropic damage material model is implemented as UMAT
- Similar to the fiber model the stress in fiber direction is non-Hookean linear elastic until fiber failure (FF)
- Non-linear stresses  $\sigma$  pre inter fiber failure (IFF) are determined from effective stresses  $\bar{\sigma}$ :  $\sigma = f(\bar{\sigma})$
- Failure initiation is modeled using Puck's failure theory [6] where the IFF is divided into three distinct modes (Mode A, B and C)

$$\sigma_n \ge \mathbf{0} : f_E = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{R_{\perp}^{(+)}} - \frac{p_{\perp\psi}^{(+)}}{R_{\perp\psi}^A}\right)^2} \cdot \sigma_n^2(\theta) + \left(\frac{\tau_{nt}(\theta)}{R_{\perp\perp}^A}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\tau_{n1}(\theta)}{R_{\perp\parallel}}\right)^2} + \frac{p_{\perp\psi}^{(+)}}{R_{\perp\psi}^A} \cdot \sigma_n(\theta)$$

$$= \sqrt{\left(\frac{\tau_{nt}(\theta)}{R_{\perp\perp}^{A}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\tau_{n1}(\theta)}{R_{\perp\parallel}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{p_{\perp\psi}^{(-)}}{R_{\perp\psi}^{A}} \cdot \sigma_{n}(\theta)\right)^{2} + \frac{p_{\perp\psi}^{(-)}}{R_{\perp\psi}^{A}} \cdot \sigma_{n}(\theta)}$$

- The fracture angle according to Puck's failure theory is computed using selective range golden section search algorithm [7]
- Using the fracture angle the damage in different axes directions is predicted



Failure envelope according to Puck in the  $\tau_{12}$  vs  $\sigma_{22}$  plane [6]



Efficient and reliable fracture angle search algorithm [7]

#### Numerical and experimental quantification of draping effects Evaluation of the draping effect transverse compression

Superposition of numerically (contour plot) and experimentally generated draping maps UD 90° (2 plies)



#### BH 1 (free forming)

BH 2 (250 N @ all BHs)

- Outer contours correspond to each other
- Results match well in the corners areas with high shearing
- Deviations in the bottom area of the mold
- Results underneath or in front of blank holders do not match transverse compression found in front of the blank holders (red ellipses in BH2) were not seen in simulation → different friction behavior between experiment and simulation

BH 3 (250 N @ sel. BHs)

Outer contour —— Simulation

Experiment

- Influencing of draping effects through different BH configurations possible
- 13 August 2019 Experimental Description of Draping Effects and their Influence on the Structural Behavior of Fiber Reinforced Composites



transverse compression $t_c = \frac{b_{tc}}{b_r}$	ΔFVC (%)
= 0	= 0
> 0.9	< 11
> 0.8	< 25
> 0.7	< 43
> 0.6	< 67
> 0.5	< 100
< 0.5	> 100 wrinkles

# **Mechanical properties**

**Tensile properties of specimen with waviness** 





13 August 2019 Experimental Description of Draping Effects and their Influence on the Structural Behavior of Fiber Reinforced Composites

# **Transferring results to structural simulation**



**Closed process chain and further works** 

Main goal: prediction of a more realistic structural performance of a composite part



## Conclusions



- Draping effects such as change of the fiber orientation, varying fiber volume content or waviness occur during the draping process
- Structural performance of composite parts is highly affected by local draping effects
- Reproduction and quantification of draping effects on structural and coupon level
- Comparison of the experimental and numerical results of coupons with waviness show a good agreement for a high amplitude to wavelength ratio
- Good local agreement of the draping simulation with the experimentally determined draping map, but the resolution of the experimental map must be refined through an improved evaluation method
- Numerically predicted position and magnitude of the draping effects are transferred from the draping simulation to the structural simulation

This work was performed within a research project (number 287275762) funded by



### References



[1] Kärger L. et al., Development and validation of a CAE chain for unidirectional fibre reinforced composite components. Composite Structures 132: 350–358, 2015

- [2] Böhm R. et.al., Experimental Analysis of Draping Process Generated Material Imperfections in Textile Preforms, Proc.18<sup>th</sup> European Conference on Composite Materials 2018, Athens, Greece 24-28th June 2018
- [3] Galkin S. et.al., Experimental and Numerical Determination of the Local Fiber Volume Content of Unidirectional Non-Crimp Fabrics with Forming Effects, Journal of Composites Science, volume 3, Issue 1, 2019
- [4] Schirmaier FJ. et al., A macroscopic approach to simulate the forming behaviour of stitched unidirectional non-crimp fabrics (UD-NCF). Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing 2017; 102: 322–335
- [5] Kärger L. et al., Forming optimisation embedded in a CAE chain to assess and enhance the structural performance of composite components. Composite Structures 2018; 192: 143–152
- [6] Puck A and Schürmann H. Failure analysis of FRP laminates by means of physically based phenomenological models. Composites Science and Technology 2002; 62: 1633–1662.
- [7] Schirmaier FJ, et al. A new efficient and reliable algorithm to determine the fracture angle for Puck's 3D matrix failure criterion for UD composites. Composites Science and Technology 2014; 100: 19–25.





Fakultät Maschinenwesen, Fakultät Verkehrswissenschaften "Friedrich List"

# **Experimental Description of Draping Effects and their Influence on the Structural Behavior of Fiber Reinforced Composites**

ICCM 22 2019 – Melbourne, 12-16<sup>th</sup> August 2019

**Eckart Kunze** 

Siegfried Galkin Benjamin Gröger Robert Böhm

Luise Kärger Maik Gude





