

DEVELOPMENT AND IMPACT BEHAVIORS OF CFRP GUARDER BELT FOR SIDE COLLISION OF AUTOMOBILES

Yoshio AOKI*, Goichi BEN*, Hyoung S. KIM*
*Nihon University

Keywords: *Impact behavior, CFRP, Absorbed energy, Automobile, Collision safety, FEM*

Abstract

Carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP) laminates are used in the wide field, because they have excellent properties of specific strength and of specific stiffness. In automobiles, the CFRP has a possibility of weight reduction in automotive structures which can contribute to improve mileage and then to reduce Carbon dioxide. On the other hand, the safety of collision should be also made clear in the case of employing the CFRP to automotive structures.

In this paper, the CFRP guarder belt equipped in the automotive door is developed and examined by an experiment and a numerical analysis for replacing the conventional steel door guarder beam. In the numerical analysis, a commercial FEM solver (ANSYS) was employed and the laminated shell element were used in the CFRP guarder belts. The contact element between the impactor and the upper surface of CFRP guarder belt and between the supporters and the inner surface of the belt was Contact element 173 with the Target element 170. The experimental relation of impact load to displacement for CFRP guarder belt agreed well with that of numerical result. From the comparison of both results, the numerical method developed here is quite useful for estimating impact behaviors of CFRP guarder belt.

1 Introduction

It is well known that CO₂ emissions, which are one of the greenhouse gases emitted from passenger vehicles such as automobile, are major cause of global warming. In the automobile industry, to reduce CO₂ emissions, it is well known that the most effective method is to produce the fuel efficient automobile. To increase the fuel efficiency of the automobile, the most effective approach is to reduce the automobile weight by using lightweight material such as composite materials. Carbon fiber reinforced plastics (CFRP) have been widely used in aerospace, industrial goods and other application fields because

of their high specific strength and high specific modulus compared with metal. This means that the CFRP contributes to lighten automobiles greatly. Otherwise, the safety of automobiles is also very important and the collision safety of the automobile has been evaluated by full flap frontal crash, offset frontal crash and side impact tests. In the frontal crash test, it is possible to absorb the energy by largely deforming the front part and the rear. With an increasing an interest in the lightening of the automobile and in the securing the safety of passengers, many researches for them have been performed [1-5]. However, in the side impact test, it is hard to absorb the energy similarly, because of being very narrow for the survival space of passengers. In the inside of the door, a reinforcement member as shown in Figure 1, namely door guarder beam made of steel has been installed to absorb impact energy and its deformation is limited to about 150mm.

In this study, the CFRP door guarder belt is developed for the purpose of designing impact energy absorption members under side collision as shown in Figure 2. A drop weight impact tests are carried out to investigate impact response behaviors and impact energy absorption characteristics of the CFRP door




Fig.1. Conventional door guarder beam




Fig.2. Energy absorption mechanism of CFRP guarder belt

guarder belt. Also, a finite element (FE) model was developed by using the ANSYS to simulate the impact response behavior and the absorbed energy of the CFRP door guarder belt under impact loading.

2 Experiment

2.1 Specimen fabrication

Figure 2 shows the schematic diagram of the impact energy absorption by the CFRP guarder belt. The impact energy is effectively absorbed by installing the CFRP belt between two free fulcrums for the rotation and changing the vertical impact load of the falling weight to the tensile load. In order to prevent fracture in the support edge of specimen due to the stress concentration, a belt-shaped specimen was adopted. Thin CFRP belt specimens were manufactured from unidirectional prepreps (T800H/Epoxy) by using the sheet winding method and its thickness, width and length were 0.23mm, 50mm and 1642mm, respectively. Figure 3 shows an actual CFRP guarder belt.


2.2 Tower drop weight impact test

In order to evaluate the capacity of crash energy absorption and to show the micro and macro fracture behavior of the CFRP guarder belt, the large size of drop tower facility for the impact test was designed as shown in Figure 3. The CFRP guarder belt was received the impact load generated by a free drop weight of 100kg from 12m height. Therefore, the impact speed was approximately 55km/h just before the impact. The shape of impactor was a half cylinder having 100mm radius and 200mm width. The impact load of the specimen was measured by a load cell installed behind the rotary pin. In order to investigate the fracture mechanism of the CFRP guarder belt, a high-speed camera was chosen. And the dynamic strain of the specimen from collision to fracture was measured by a strain gauge. The strain

gauge stuck on three places at the center of the specimen as a collision point (T1), near the rotary pin (T3) and middle point of both (T2) as shown in Figure 3. The CFRP guarder belt specimen supported both ends in the rotary pin of a diameter of 40mm.



(a) CFRP guarder belt specimen



(b) mounted specimen



(c) Impactor





Fig.3. CFRP guarder belt specimen and Tower drop impact test setup

Figure 4 shows the measured horizontal load and the longitudinal strain of the center of the specimen variation after impact. The horizontal impact load of CFRP belt specimen increase nonlinearly, and the impact load reached to the maximum and then instantly dropped to almost zero as shown in the left side of Figure 4. Within the time of 5.0~5.4msec, the impact load recovered to 38~40kN and become to zero at the displacement of about 85mm. The longitudinal strain of the specimen at the impact location also increases nonlinearly from a moment of impact, and becomes the maximum value just before fracture of the CFRP belt specimen.

Figure 5 shows the observed fracture modes and the fracture location of CFRP guarder belt specimen. In the experiment, the observed fracture mode was fiber breakage in the entire width of CFRP belt specimen due to the tensile load acted on the whole the specimen. And almost specimen fractured at the location of T3, that is larger tensile stress occurred around the rotary pin is supposed.





Fig.4. Horizontal load and Strain of the center of the specimen variation after impact




Fig.5. Fracture mode of CFRP in the impact test for the CFRP guarder belt

Figure 6 shows the comparison of experimental load-displacement curve between the vertical impact and the impact with offset angle of 15°. The horizontal load of the impact with offset angle of 15° increases nonlinearly until the displacement of 80mm, and it's almost same tendency for the vertical impact case. The maximum impact load for offset impact case is 20% smaller than the vertical impact case.




Fig.6. Comparison of experimental load-displacement curves between the vertical impact and the impact with offset angle of 15°

3 Numerical analysis

To simulate the impact response behavior and absorbed energy of the CFRP guarder belt under impact loading, a finite element model was developed by using the commercial FEM solver (ANSYS). In this FE analysis, the laminated shell element (SHELL_63) and the solid element (SOLID_45) were used in the CFRP guarder belts and the impactor and rotary pins, respectively. Details of the finite element model are shown in Figure 7. The contact element between the impactor and the upper surface of CFRP guarder belt and between the rotary pins and the inner surface of the belt was Contact element 173 with the Target element 170 of the friction coefficients of 0.25. And, we adopted 1/2 models with a symmetric condition to reduce a calculation time. Table 1 shows the material properties of CFRP guarder belt used for the analysis.

3.1. Prediction of the Fracture time of CFRP guarder belt

Next, the fracture time of the CFRP guarder belt was predicted from the results of the numerical


analysis by using the fracture criterion of composites. In case of the unidirectional reinforced CFRP, the material property in the transverse direction is same as that of thickness direction, therefore Tsai-Hill fracture criterion was used in this analysis.

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_L}{F_L}\right)^2 - \frac{\sigma_L \sigma_T}{F_L^2} + \left(\frac{\sigma_T}{F_T}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\tau_{LT}}{F_{LT}}\right)^2 = 1 \quad (1)$$

Where F_L , F_T and F_{LT} are the strength of the CFRP belt along the fiber direction, that of the transverse direction and that of the in-plane shear, respectively. The F_L being much bigger than the stress of the transverse direction σ_T for thin CFRP belt specimen, so that equation (1) can be rewritten as below

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_L}{F_L}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_T}{F_T}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\tau_{LT}}{F_{LT}}\right)^2 = 1 \quad (2)$$

Substituting the occurred stress and the strength in fiber direction σ_L , those of transverse σ_T and those of membrane shear τ_{LT} in the equation 2, it can be consider the fracture of CFRP belt specimen when the value of the left-hand side become 1.



	CFRP Belt	Impactor, Coner Pin
Structural Element	Elastic Shell	3 D Structural Solid
Contact Element	3 D Target Segment	
	3 D Surface to Surface Contact	

Fig.7. Details of the finite element model

Table 1. Material properties of CFRP guarder belt

	CFRP Belt	Impactor, Coner Pin
E_x [GPa]	130	206
E_y [GPa]	8	-
E_z [GPa]	8	-
G_{xy} [GPa]	4	80
G_{yz} [GPa]	4	-
F_x [GPa]	2.45	-
F_y [GPa]	0.07	-
F_{xy} [GPa]	0.098	-
	0.34	0.3
Density[kg/m ³]	1600	8000

3.2. Calculation of the absorbed energy for CFRP guarder belt

The absorbed energy of CFRP guarder belt can be calculated by an area of the curve of an impact load P and a displacement of the impact location of the specimen δ until its fracture as

$$E(\delta) = \int P(\delta)d\delta \tag{3}$$

4 Results and discussions

Figure 8 shows the experimental and the numerical relation of impact load to displacement of the CFRP guarder belt for vertical impact. The experimental and numerical impact load increase with increasing the displacement of CFRP belt specimen nonlinearly, then, they become the maximum value just before fracture. The experimental and the numerical relation of impact load to displacement for CFRP guarder belt agreed well generally.

Next, Table 2 shows the predicted fracture time was calculated by equation (2), the location of fracture in the specimen and the absorbed energy obtained by FE analysis are compared with the experimental ones. The fracture time and the location of fracture are almost exactly predicted and the numerical absorbed energy is also close to the experimental ones.

The experimental strain variations at three locations in the specimen compare with the numerical ones as shown in Figure 9. The strain of each locations increase with time transit nonlinearly.

The measured maximum impact strain at each location is almost same, though the numerical strain variation at the location of T3 is larger than experimental ones. This is because the numerical result wasn't considered a little looseness between the CFRP guarder belt and the rotary pin. Both results of the strain variations at the locations of T1 and T2 agreed well.






Fig.8. Comparison of experimental and predicted load-displacement curve of CFRP guarder belt for vertical impact

Table 2. Fracture time and absorbed energy of CFRP guarder belt for vertical impact

	Experiment	FEM
Fracture time (msec)	4.78	4.81
Location of the fracture	T3	T3
Absorbed energy (J)	752	700






Fig.9. Comparisons of experimental and predicted the strain variation of CFRP guarder belt for vertical impact

From the comparison of both results, the numerical method developed here is quite useful for estimating impact behavior of the CFRP guarder belt.

In the case of side collision, there is the collision from diagonal direction actually. Therefore, the impact response behavior for the side collision with offset angle of CFRP guarder belt was examined. The experiment of the side collision with offset angle was performed to incline the supported base of the specimen so that the impactor hit the specimen diagonally as shown in Figure 10. The drop weight impact test with offset angle of 15° was carried out in this experiment, then the difference in impact response behavior of the side collision was examined.

Figure 11 shows the experimental and the numerical relation of impact load to displacement of the CFRP guarder belt for impact with offset angle of 15°. Though the maximum impact load by numerical result is slightly larger than experimental ones, both relation of impact load to displacement for CFRP guarder belt agreed well generally.

Table 3 shows the predicted fracture time, the location of fracture in the specimen and the absorbed energy obtained by FE analysis are compared with the experimental ones for the impact with offset angle of 15°. The fracture time and the absorbed energy are almost exactly predicted. The fracture time for the offset impact test is slightly longer than that for vertical impact, but the absorbed energy is almost same for the both case.

The experimental strain variations at three locations in the specimen compare with the numerical ones as shown in Figure 12. The strain of each locations increase with time transit nonlinearly. Both results of the strain variations at the locations of T1 and T2 agreed well.




Fig.9. The drop weight impact test with offset angle of 15°




Fig.11. Comparison of experimental and predicted load-displacement curve for impact with offset angle of 15°

Table 3. Experimental fracture time and absorbed energy of CFRP guarder belt for impact with offset angle of 15°

	Experiment	F.E.M.
Fracture time (msec)	5.41	5.2
Location of the fracture	T3	T3
Absorbed energy (J)	758	755




Fig.12. Comparisons of experimental and predicted the strain variation for impact with offset angle of 15 °

5 Conclusions

The CFRP guarder belt was developed for the purpose of designing impact energy absorption member under side collision. The drop weight impact tests were carried out and the impact response behavior and the absorbed energy of the CFRP door guarder belt under impact loading were examined by using the numerical analysis and the experimental results. From these results, we could be concluded as below.

1. The CFRP guarder belt absorbed crash energy along the entire length of it and tension stress is applied on both the upper and lower side of belt.
 2. From the comparison of FEM results with the experimental ones for the specimens, the proposed numerical method by ANSYS code was supposed to be useful for analyzing the CFRP guarder belt.
 3. The fracture time of the CFRP guarder belt can be predicted by using FEM and fracture criteria of composite materials and agreed well with the experimental fracture time.
 4. The impact response behavior and impact strength of the CFRP guarder belt were obtained by the tower drop weight impact test.
- The CFRP guarder belt contributes to lightweighting and safety improvement of safety of the car body greatly is supposed.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted as part of the Japanese National Project "R&D of Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Composite Materials to Reduce Automobile Weight" supported by NEDO (New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization).

The authors acknowledge the assistance of Toray Industries, Inc. who supplied the materials for these test specimens.

References

[1] G. Ben, T. Uzawa, H.S. Kim, Y. Aoki et. al. 2004. "Impact Strength and Response Behavior of CFRP Thin Belt," J. JSME Series A, 70(694): 824-829 (in Japanese)

[2] Ari G. Caliskan. 2002 , "Axial & Lateral Impact Prediction of Composite Structures Using Explicit Finite Element Analysis," Proceedings of IMECE2002 ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress & Exposition, November17-22, 2002

[3] H. S. Kim, G. Ben, Y. Aoki, A. Shikada. 2005. "Comparison of FEM Results with Experimental Ones for CFRP Tubes in Automobiles Under Impact Load", Proceedings of the 5th Japan-Korea Joint Symposium on Composite Materials, October, 2005

[4] Y. Aoki and G. Ben. 2004. "Car-body Shape and Safety -Examination for Car-body Shape and Safety Design-," IATSS Review, 29(4): 261-268 (in Japanese)

[5] G. Ben, Y. Furuta, T. Uzawa. 2003. "Impact Strength and Response Behavior of CFRP Thin Belt," presented at the 14th Conference on the JSME Computational Mechanics Division, November, 2003. (in Japanese)